arXiv:1605.00717v2 [math.AG] 10 Oct 2016

MIXED MOTIVES AND MOTIVIC BIRATIONAL COVERS

PABLO PELAEZ

Dedicated to Professor Charles Weibel on the occasion of his 65th birthday.

Abstract. We introduce a tower of localizing subcategories in Voevodsky's big (closed under infinite coproducts) triangulated category of motives. We show that the tower induces a finite filtration on the motivic cohomology groups of smooth schemes over a perfect field. With rational coefficients, this finite filtration satisfies several of the properties of the still conjectural Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration.

1. Introduction

The main goal of this paper is to present an alternative approach to the conjectural Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration [4], [6], [19] in the context of Voevodsky's triangulated category of motives DM. Traditionally, the Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration is understood as an outcome of the conjectural motivic t-structure [4, p. 20-22], [11, Conj. 4.8], [2]. Due to the lack of progress, it seems to the author that it is worth it to relax the conditions and look instead for a tower where the truncation functors are triangulated. The great advantage of this approach is that the finiteness of the proposed filtration follows in a straightforward way from the construction, whereas in the traditional approach this property seems to be the most inaccessible one [24], [25]. This method was introduced by Voevodsky in his successful approach to the spectral sequence relating motivic cohomology and algebraic K-theory [29], [30].

Our approach can be sketched quickly as follows. For a smooth scheme X of finite type over a perfect field k, the Chow groups can be computed in Voevodsky's triangulated category of motives DM [28]:

$$CH^q(X)_R \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(-q)[-2q], \mathbf{1}_R);$$

where $CH^q(X)_R$ is the Chow group with R-coefficients, and $\mathbf{1}_R$ is the motive of a point with R-coefficients. Since DM is a triangulated category, it is possible to construct the filtration by considering a tower in DM, (see §5):

$$\cdots \to bc_{\leq -3}(\mathbf{1}_R) \to bc_{\leq -2}(\mathbf{1}_R) \to bc_{\leq -1}(\mathbf{1}_R) \to \mathbf{1}_R$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14C25, 14C35, 14F42, 19E15; Secondary 18G55, 55P42.

Key words and phrases. Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration, Chow Groups, Filtration on the Chow Groups, Filtration on Motivic Cohomology, Mixed Motives, Motivic Cohomology, Triangulated Category of Motives.

Research partially supported by DGAPA-UNAM grant IA100814.

where $bc_{\leq -n}$ is a triangulated functor $DM \to DM$ (3.2.3), and defining the p-component of the filtration $F^pCH^q(X)$ to be the image of the induced map:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(-q)[-2q], bc_{\leq -p}\mathbf{1}_R) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(-q)[-2q], \mathbf{1}_R) \cong CH^q(X)_R$$

The finiteness of the filtration $F^\bullet CH^q(X)$ is proved in 5.2.4. Another advantage of this approach is that the filtration is defined (and is finite) for any coefficient ring R (not just the rationals) and for any smooth k-scheme of finite type (not necessarily projective), whereas it is known that with integral coefficients it is not possible to construct a motivic t-structure in DM [27, Prop. 4.3.8].

Now, we describe the contents of the paper. In §1.1 we fix the notation and introduce the basic definitions that will be used in the rest of the paper. In §2, we review some general facts from triangulated categories that will be used to construct the birational towers. In §3, we introduce the birational covers and the birational tower which will be used to construct the filtrations we are interested in, see 3.2.1, 3.2.3, 3.2.12, 3.2.16. In §4, we compare the zero birational cover constructed in this paper with the Kahn-Sujatha unramified cohomology functor. In §5, we study the birational tower for motivic cohomology and describe the main properties of the induced filtration on the motivic cohomology groups of smooth (projective) k-schemes, see 5.2.1, 5.2.4, 5.3.2, 5.3.6. Finally, in §6 we include some comments on the Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration.

1.1. **Definitions and Notation.** In this paper k will denote a perfect base field, Sch_k the category of k-schemes of finite type and Sm_k the full subcategory of Sch_k consisting of smooth k-schemes regarded as a site with the Nisnevich topology.

Let Cor_k denote the Suslin-Voevodsky category of finite correspondences over k, having the same objects as Sm_k, morphisms $c(U,V)$ given by the group of finite relative cycles on $U \times_k V$ over U [26] and composition as in [31, p. 673 diagram (2.1)]. By taking the graph of a morphism in Sm_k, we obtain a functor $\Gamma : Sm_k \to Cor_k$. A Nisnevich sheaf with transfers is an additive contravariant functor $\mathcal{F}$ from Cor_k to the category of abelian groups such that the restriction $\mathcal{F} \circ \Gamma$ is a Nisnevich sheaf. We will write Shv^{tr} for the category of Nisnevich sheaves with transfers which is an abelian category [18, 13.1]. For $X \in Sm_k$, let $\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X)$ be the Nisnevich sheaf with transfers represented by X [18, 2.8 and 6.2].

Let $K(Shv^{tr})$ be the category of chain complexes (unbounded) on Shv^{tr} equipped with the injective model structure [5, Prop. 3.13], and let $D(Shv^{tr})$ be its homotopy category. We will write $K^{\mathbb{A}^1}(Shv^{tr})$ for the left Bousfield localization [8, 3.3] of $K(Shv^{tr})$ with respect to the set of maps $\{\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1)[n] \to \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X)[n] : X \in Sm_k; n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ induced by the projections $p : X \times_k \mathbb{A}^1 \to X$. Voevodsky's big triangulated category of effective motives DM^{eff} is the homotopy category of $K^{\mathbb{A}^1}(Shv^{tr})$ [27].

Let $T \in K^{\mathbb{A}^1}(Shv^{tr})$ be the chain complex of the from $\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{G}_m)[1]$ [18, 2.12], where $\mathbb{G}_m$ is the k-scheme $\mathbb{A}^1 \backslash \{0\}$ pointed by 1. We will write $Spt_T(Shv^{tr})$ for the category of symmetric T-spectra on $K^{\mathbb{A}^1}(Shv^{tr})$ equipped with the model structure defined in [9, 8.7 and 8.11], [1, Def. 4.3.29]. Voevodsky's big triangulated category of motives DM is the homotopy category of $Spt_T(Shv^{tr})$ [27].

We will write $M(X)$ for the image of $\mathbb{Z}_{tr}(X) \in D(Shv^{tr})$, $X \in Sm_k$ under the $\mathbb{A}^1$-localization map $D(Shv^{tr}) \to DM^{\mathrm{eff}}$. Let $\Sigma^\infty : DM^{\mathrm{eff}} \to DM$ be the suspension functor [9, 7.3] (which is denoted by F_0 in *loc.cit.*), we will abuse notation

and simply write E for $\Sigma^\infty E$, $E \in DM^{\text{eff}}$. Given a map $f : X \to Y$ in Sm_k, we will write $f : M(X) \to M(Y)$ for the map induced by f in DM.

By construction, DM^{eff} and DM are tensor triangulated categories [1, Thm. 4.3.76 and Prop. 4.3.77] with unit $\mathbf{1} = M(\text{Spec}(k))$. We will write $E(1)$ for $E \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{tr}(\mathbb{G}_m)[-1]$, $E \in DM$ and inductively $E(n) = (E(n-1))(1)$, $n \geq 0$. We observe that the functor $DM \to DM$, $E \mapsto E(1)$ is an equivalence of categories [9, 8.10], [1, Thm. 4.3.38]; we will write $E \mapsto E(-1)$ for its inverse, and inductively $E(-n) = (E(-n+1))(-1)$, $n > 0$. By convention $E(0) = E$ for $E \in DM$.

Let R be a commutative ring with 1. We will write E_R for $E \otimes \mathbf{1}_R$ where $E \in DM$, and $\mathbf{1}_R$ is the motive of a point with R-coefficients $M(\text{Spec}(k)) \otimes R$.

We shall use freely the language of triangulated categories. Our main reference will be [21]. Given a triangulated category, we will write [1] (resp. [−1]) to denote its suspension (resp. desuspension) functor; and for $n > 0$, [n] (resp. [$-n$]) will be the composition of [1] (resp. [−1]) iterated n-times. If $n = 0$, [0] will be the identity functor.

We will use the following notation in all the categories under consideration: 0 will denote the zero object, and $\cong$ will denote that a map (resp. a functor) is an isomorphism (resp. an equivalence of categories).

2. Localizing and orthogonal subcategories

In this section we collect several results of Neeman which are the main technical tools for the construction of the filtration on motivic cohomology. All the constructions can be carried out as well at the level of model categories, see [23, §2].

2.1. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a compactly generated triangulated category in the sense of Neeman [20, Def. 1.7] with set of compact generators $\mathcal{G}$. For $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq \mathcal{G}$, let $Loc(\mathcal{G}')$ denote the smallest full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ which contains $\mathcal{G}'$ and is closed under arbitrary (infinite) coproducts.

Definition 2.1.1. Let $\mathcal{T}' \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated subcategory. We will write $\mathcal{T}'^{\perp}$ for the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of the objects $E \in \mathcal{T}$ such that for every $K \in \mathcal{T}'$: $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(K, E) = 0$.

If $\mathcal{T}' = Loc(\mathcal{G}')$ and $E \in \mathcal{T}'^{\perp}$, we will say that E is $\mathcal{G}'$-*orthogonal*.

Remark 2.1.2. In order to check that $E \in Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ it suffices to see that for every $G \in \mathcal{G}'$ and for every $p \in \mathbb{Z}$: $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(G[p], E) = 0$. In effect, let ${}^{\perp}E$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of objects F such that $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(F[p], E) = 0$ for every $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. It is clear that ${}^{\perp}E$ is closed under infinite coproducts and that it is a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$. Now, our hypothesis implies that $\mathcal{G}' \subseteq {}^{\perp}E$. Hence, $Loc(\mathcal{G}') \subset {}^{\perp}E$ by definition of $Loc(\mathcal{G}')$ (2.1), and this is equivalent to $E \in Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ (2.1.1).

Theorem 2.1.3 (Neeman)**.** *Under the same hypothesis as in 2.1. Let $\mathcal{T}'$ be a triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$. Assume that $\mathcal{T}'$ is also compactly generated. Then the inclusion $i : \mathcal{T}' \to \mathcal{T}$ admits a right adjoint r, which is a triangulated functor.*

Let $f = i \circ r$. Then there exists a triangulated functor $s : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$ together with natural transformations:

$$\pi : id \longrightarrow s$$
$$\sigma : s \longrightarrow [1] \circ f$$

such that for any E in $\mathcal{T}$ the following conditions hold:

(1) There is a natural distinguished triangle in $\mathcal{T}$:

$$f(E) \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} s(E) \xrightarrow{\sigma} f(E)[1] \tag{2.1.4}$$

(2) $s(E)$ is in ${\mathcal{T}'}^{\perp}$ (see 2.1.1).

Proof. The triangulated categories $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are compactly generated. Hence, the existence of the right adjoint r follows from theorem 4.1 in [20]. The remaining results follow from propositions 9.1.19 and 9.1.8 in [21]. □

2.1.5. With the notation of 2.1 and 2.1.3. If $\mathcal{T}' = Loc(\mathcal{G}')$, we will write $f_{\mathcal{G}'}$, $s_{\mathcal{G}'}$, $\pi_{\mathcal{G}'}$, $\sigma_{\mathcal{G}'}$ for f, s, π, σ respectively.

For $E \in \mathcal{T}$, consider the natural distinguished triangle (2.1.4). Thus, if $E' \in Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ we deduce that:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(E, E') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(s_{\mathcal{G}'}E, E') \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}}(s_{\mathcal{G}'}E, E'). \tag{2.1.6}$$

Lemma 2.1.7. *Under the same hypothesis as in 2.1 and with the notation of 2.1.5. Consider the inclusion $j : Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp} \to \mathcal{T}$.*

(1) $Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ is closed under infinite coproducts and it is a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$.

(2) The inclusion j is a triangulated functor which commutes with infinite coproducts. In addition, $Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ is a compactly generated triangulated category in the sense of Neeman [20, Def. 1.7] *with set of compact generators ${\mathcal{G}'}^{\perp} = \{s_{\mathcal{G}'}G : G \in \mathcal{G}\backslash\mathcal{G}'\}$.*

(3) The inclusion j admits a right adjoint $p : \mathcal{T} \to Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$, which is also a triangulated functor.

Proof. (1): It is clear from the definition (2.1.1) that $Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ is a full triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$.

Now we proceed to show that $Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ is closed under infinite coproducts. Consider an indexing set Λ and let $E = \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}E_\lambda$ where $E_\lambda \in Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ for all λ. By 2.1.2 it suffices to see that for all $G \in \mathcal{G}'$: $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(G[p], E) = 0$ for every $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. However, G is a compact object in $\mathcal{T}$; thus we conclude that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(G[p], E) \cong \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(G[p], E_\lambda) = 0$ since $G[p] \in Loc(\mathcal{G}')$ and $E_\lambda \in Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$.

(2): By 2.1.7(1), j is a triangulated functor that commutes with infinite coproducts. Now, let $G \in {\mathcal{G}'}^{\perp} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. Since G is compact [20, Def. 1.6] in $\mathcal{T}$, it follows from (2.1.6) that $s_{\mathcal{G}'}G$ is also compact in $Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$.

Thus, it only remains to see that ${\mathcal{G}'}^{\perp}$ is a set of generators for $Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ [20, Defs. 1.7, 1.8]. To check this, let $E \in Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ be such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}}(s_{\mathcal{G}'}G, E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(G, E) = 0$ (2.1.6) for all $G \in \mathcal{G}\backslash\mathcal{G}'$. We observe that if $G \in \mathcal{G}'$ then $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(G, E) = 0$ since $E \in Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp}$ (see 2.1.1). Therefore, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(G, E) = 0$ for all $G \in \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}' \cup (\mathcal{G}\backslash\mathcal{G}')$, and we conclude that $G \cong 0$ since $\mathcal{G}$ is a set of generators for $\mathcal{T}$.

(3): This follows by combining 2.1.7(2) and 2.1.3. □

2.1.8. Since the inclusion $j : Loc(\mathcal{G}')^{\perp} \to \mathcal{T}$ is a full embedding, we deduce that the unit of the adjunction $id \xrightarrow{\tau} pj$ is a natural isomorphism.

2.2. **Slice towers and their duals.** Recall that $\mathcal{T}$ is a compactly generated triangulated category with set of compact generators $\mathcal{G}$.

2.2.1. Consider a family of subsets of $\mathcal{G}$: $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ such that $\mathcal{G}_{n+1} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_n \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus, we obtain a tower of full triangulated subcategories of $\mathcal{T}$:

$$\cdots \subseteq Loc(\mathcal{G}_{n+1}) \subseteq Loc(\mathcal{G}_n) \subseteq Loc(\mathcal{G}_{n-1}) \subseteq \cdots \tag{2.2.2}$$

We will call (2.2.2) the *slice tower* determined by $\mathcal{S}$. The reason for this terminology is [29], [10], [32, p. 18]. If we consider the orthogonal categories $Loc(\mathcal{G}_n)^{\perp}$ (2.1.1), we obtain a tower of full triangulated subcategories of $\mathcal{T}$:

$$\cdots \subseteq Loc(\mathcal{G}_{n-1})^{\perp} \subseteq Loc(\mathcal{G}_n)^{\perp} \subseteq Loc(\mathcal{G}_{n+1})^{\perp} \subseteq \cdots \tag{2.2.3}$$

3. Birational Coverings and the Birational Tower

In this section we apply the formalism of §2 to Voevodsky's triangulated category of motives DM, in order to construct a tower of triangulated subcategories of DM which will induce the filtration on the Chow groups that we are interested in. In [23] the construction was done in the Morel-Voevodsky motivic stable homotopy category $\mathcal{SH}$. However, as pointed out by the anonymous referee, it is more natural to carry out the construction in DM since various issues of functoriality with respect to Chow correspondences become straightforward in DM. Nevertheless the analogue tower in $\mathcal{SH}$ is also interesting, since it is possible to show that with finite coefficients the algebraic cycles induced by the Steenrod operations of Voevodsky are elements of high order in the filtration, and it allows to study other theories which are not representable in DM (integrally), e.g. homotopy invariant K-theory KH and Voevodsky algebraic cobordism MGL. We will study this applications for $\mathcal{SH}$ in a future work.

3.1. **Generators.** It is well known that DM is a compactly generated triangulated category (2.1) with compact generators [1, Thm. 4.5.67]:

$$\mathcal{G}_{DM} = \{M(X)(p) : X \in Sm_k; p \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{3.1.1}$$

Let $\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{DM}$ be the set consisting of compact objects of the form:

$$\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}} = \{M(X)(p) : X \in Sm_k; p \geq 0\}. \tag{3.1.2}$$

If $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we will write $\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}}(n) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{DM}$ for the set consisting of compact objects of the form:

$$\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}}(n) = \{M(X)(p) : X \in Sm_k; p \geq n\}. \tag{3.1.3}$$

3.1.4. By Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [31], the suspension functor $\Sigma^{\infty} : DM^{\text{eff}} \to DM$ induces an equivalence of categories between DM^{eff} and the full triangulated subcategory $Loc(\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}})$ of DM (2.1). We will abuse notation and write DM^{eff} for $Loc(\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}})$.

3.1.5. We will write $DM^{\text{eff}}(n)$ for the full triangulated subcategory $Loc(\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}}(n))$ of DM (2.1), and $DM^{\perp}(n)$ for the orthogonal category $Loc(\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}}(n))^{\perp}$ (2.1.1). Notice that $DM^{\text{eff}}(n)$ is compactly generated with set of generators $\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}}(n)$ [20, Thm. 2.1(2.1.1)].

3.2. **The birational tower.** Consider the family $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{eff}}(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of subsets of $\mathcal{G}_{DM}$. By construction $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies the conditions of 2.2.1, hence we obtain a tower of full triangulated subcategories of DM (2.2.3):

$$\cdots \subseteq DM^{\perp}(q-1) \subseteq DM^{\perp}(q) \subseteq DM^{\perp}(q+1) \subseteq \cdots \tag{3.2.1}$$

We will call the tower (3.2.1) the *birational tower*. The reason for this terminology is [13], [22, Thms. 3.6 and 1.4.(2)] where it is shown that the orthogonal categories have a geometric description in terms of birational conditions. This also explains the shift in the index in 3.2.3.

Proposition 3.2.2. *The inclusion, $j_q : DM^{\perp}(q) \to DM$ admits a right adjoint:*

$$p_q : DM \to DM^{\perp}(q),$$

which is also a triangulated functor.

Proof. Since DM is compactly generated, we can apply 2.1.7(3). □

3.2.3. *Birational covers.* We define $bc_{\leq q} = j_{q+1} \circ p_{q+1}$.

The following proposition is well-known.

Proposition 3.2.4. *The counit $bc_{\leq q} = j_q p_q \xrightarrow{\theta_q} id$ of the adjunction constructed in 3.2.2 satisfies the following universal property:*

For any E in DM and for any $F \in DM^{\perp}(q+1)$, the map $\theta_q^E : bc_{\leq q}E \to E$ in DM induces an isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, bc_{\leq q}E) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\theta_{q*}^E} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, E)$$

Proof. If $F \in DM^{\perp}(q+1)$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F,E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(j_{q+1}F, E)$. By adjointness:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(j_{q+1}F, E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{\perp}(q+1)}(F, p_{q+1}E).$$

Since $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$ is a full subcategory of DM, we deduce that:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{\perp}(q+1)}(F, p_{q+1}E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(j_{q+1}F, j_{q+1}p_{q+1}E) = \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, bc_{\leq q}E).$$

This finishes the proof. □

Remark 3.2.5. By construction $bc_{\leq q}E$ is in $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$ (3.2.2)-(3.2.3), thus we conclude that the universal property of 3.2.4 characterizes $bc_{\leq q}E$ up to a unique isomorphism.

3.2.6. Since $DM^{\perp}(q+1) \subseteq DM^{\perp}(q+2)$ (3.2.1), it follows from (3.2.4)-(3.2.5) that $bc_{\leq q} \circ bc_{\leq q+1} \cong bc_{\leq q}$ and that there exists a canonical natural transformation $bc_{\leq q} \to bc_{\leq q+1}$.

Corollary 3.2.7. *Let E be in DM. Then, the natural map $\theta_q^E : bc_{\leq q}E \to E$ is an isomorphism in DM if and only if E belongs to $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$.*

Proof. First, we assume that θ_q^E is an isomorphism in DM. We observe that $bc_{\leq q}E$ is in $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$ (see 3.2.2 and 3.2.3). Hence, we deduce that E is also in $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$ since it is a full triangulated subcategory of DM.

Finally, we assume that E is in $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$. Thus, θ_q^E is a map in $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$ since $bc_{\leq q}E$ is in $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$ by construction. Therefore, by 3.2.4 we deduce that θ_q^E is an isomorphism in $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$, and hence an isomorphism in DM. □

Theorem 3.2.8. *There exist triangulated functors:*

$$bc_{q+1/q} : DM \to DM$$

together with natural transformations:

$$\pi_{q+1} : bc_{\leq q+1} \longrightarrow bc_{q+1/q}$$
$$\sigma_{q+1} : bc_{q+1/q} \longrightarrow [1] \circ bc_{\leq q}$$

such that for any E in DM the following conditions hold:

(1) There is a natural distinguished triangle in DM:

$$bc_{\leq q}E \longrightarrow bc_{\leq q+1}E \xrightarrow{\pi_{q+1}} bc_{q+1/q}E \xrightarrow{\sigma_{q+1}} bc_{\leq q}E[1] \tag{3.2.9}$$

(2) $bc_{q+1/q}E$ is in $DM^{\perp}(q+2)$.
(3) $bc_{q+1/q}E$ is in $(DM^{\perp}(q+1))^{\perp}$. Namely, for any F in $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, bc_{q+1/q}E) = 0.$$

Proof. Since DM is compactly generated, we can apply 2.1.7(2) to conclude that the triangulated categories $DM^{\perp}(q+1) \subseteq DM^{\perp}(q+2)$ (see 3.2.1) are compactly generated. Thus, the result follows by combining 2.1.3 (see 3.2.2-3.2.3) and 3.2.6. □

Theorem 3.2.10. *There exist triangulated functors:*

$$bc_{>q} : DM \to DM$$

together with natural transformations:

$$\pi_{>q} : id \longrightarrow bc_{>q}$$
$$\sigma_{>q} : bc_{>q} \longrightarrow [1] \circ bc_{\leq q}$$

such that for any E in DM the following conditions hold:

(1) There is a natural distinguished triangle in DM:

$$bc_{\leq q}E \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi_{>q}} bc_{>q}E \xrightarrow{\sigma_{>q}} (bc_{\leq q}E)[1] \tag{3.2.11}$$

(2) $bc_{>q}E$ is in $(DM^{\perp}(q+1))^{\perp}$. Namely, for any F in $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, bc_{>q}E) = 0.$$

Proof. Combining 3.1 and 2.1.7(2), we deduce that the triangulated categories $DM^{\perp}(q+1) \subseteq DM$ are compactly generated. Thus, the result follows from 2.1.3 (see 3.2.2-3.2.3). □

Theorem 3.2.12. *For any E in DM, there exists the following commutative diagram in DM:*



where all the rows and columns are distinguished triangles in DM.

Proof. The result follows from 3.2.8, 3.2.10 and the octahedral axiom applied to the following commutative diagram (see 3.2.6):



□

3.2.13. *The spectral sequence.* By 3.2.12, for every E in DM there is a tower in DM:

(3.2.14)



We will call (3.2.14) the birational tower of E.

Remark 3.2.15. By 3.2.2 and 3.2.3, the birational tower (3.2.14) is functorial with respect to morphisms in DM.

Theorem 3.2.16. *Let G, K be in DM. Then there is a spectral sequence of homological type with term $E^1_{p,q} = \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(G, (bc_{p/p-1}K)[q-p])$ and where the abutment is given by the associated graded group for the filtration $F_\bullet$ of $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(G, K)$ defined by the image of $\theta^K_{p*} : \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(G, bc_{\leq p}K) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(G, K)$, or equivalently the kernel of $\pi_{>q} : \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(G, K) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(G, bc_{>q}K)$.*

Proof. Since DM is a triangulated category, the result follows from 3.2.12 and 3.2.14. □

3.3. **Effective covers.** Consider again the family $\mathcal{S} = \{\mathcal{G}^{\text{eff}}(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of subsets of $\mathcal{G}_{DM}$ (3.1.1)-(3.1.3). Since DM and $DM^{\text{eff}}(n)$ are compactly generated (see 3.1 and 3.1.5), it follows from 2.1.3 that the inclusion $i_n : DM^{\text{eff}}(n) \to DM$ admits a right adjoint $r_n : DM \to DM^{\text{eff}}(n)$ which is a triangulated functor. We will write f_n for the triangulated functor $i_n \circ r_n : DM \to DM$.

The functor f_n is the $(n-1)$-effective cover in the slice filtration studied in [29], [10] in the context of the Morel-Voevodsky motivic stable homotopy category $\mathcal{SH}$ and Voevodsky's effective triangulated category of motives DM^{eff}, respectively.

Remark 3.3.1. Since $f_qE \in DM^{\text{eff}}(q)$, an argument parallel to (3.2.4)-(3.2.5) shows that f_qE is characterized up to a unique isomorphism by the following universal property:

For any $F \in DM^{\text{eff}}(q)$, the counit of the adjunction (i_n, r_n), $\epsilon_q^E : f_qE \to E$ in DM induces an isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, f_qE) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\epsilon^E_{q*}} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, E)$$

Lemma 3.3.2. *Let p, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $q \geq p$. Then:*

(1) $f_p(bc_{\leq q}E)$ is in $DM^{\text{eff}}(p) \cap DM^{\perp}(q+1)$.

(2) $f_p(bc_{\leq q}E)$ is characterized up to a unique isomorphism by the following universal property:

For any $F \in DM^{\text{eff}}(p) \cap DM^{\perp}(q+1)$, the compositon of counits (see 3.2.4 and 3.3.1) $\theta_q^E \circ \epsilon_p^{bc_{\leq q}E} : f_p(bc_{\leq q}E) \to E$ in DM induces an isomorphism of abelian groups:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, f_p(bc_{\leq q}E)) \xrightarrow[\cong]{} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, E)$$

Proof. (1): We observe that $f_p(bc_{\leq q}E)$ is in $DM^{\text{eff}}(p)$ by construction. Now, let $F \in DM^{\text{eff}}(q+1)$. To conclude it suffices to check that $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, f_p(bc_{\leq q}E)) = 0$.

We observe that $DM^{\text{eff}}(q+1) \subseteq DM^{\text{eff}}(p)$ since $q+1 > p$ (see 3.1.3 and 3.1.5), so F is also in $DM^{\text{eff}}(p)$. Then, by the universal property 3.3.1:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, f_p(bc_{\leq q}E)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, bc_{\leq q}E).$$

Finally, $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(F, bc_{\leq q}E) = 0$ since $F \in DM^{\text{eff}}(q+1)$ and $bc_{\leq q}E \in DM^{\perp}(q+1)$ (see 3.2.5).

(2): Follows directly by combining 3.2.5, 3.3.1 and (1) above. □

Proposition 3.3.3. *Let $E \in DM$ and n, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then:*

(1) There is a natural isomorphism $t_n^{bc}(E) : (bc_{\leq q}E)(n) \to bc_{\leq q+n}(E(n))$ such that $\theta_{q+n}^{E(n)} \circ t_n^{bc}(E) = \theta_q^E(n)$ (see 3.2.4).

(2) There is a natural isomorphism $t_n^{\text{eff}}(E) : (f_qE)(n) \to f_{q+n}(E(n))$ such that $\epsilon_{q+n}^{E(n)} \circ t_n^{\text{eff}}(E) = \epsilon_q^E(n)$ (see 3.3.1).

Proof. We observe that $DM \to DM$, $E \mapsto E(n)$ is a triangulated equivalence of categories which maps $DM^{\text{eff}}(q)$ surjectively onto $DM^{\text{eff}}(q+n)$ (see 3.1.5 and 3.1.3), and hence also $DM^{\perp}(q+1)$ surjectively onto $DM^{\perp}(q+n+1)$. Hence, the result follows from 3.2.4-3.2.5 (resp. 3.3.1). □

4. Kahn-Sujatha unramified cohomology

In this section, we will show that the right adjoint $p_1 : DM \to DM^{\perp}(1)$ constructed in 3.2.2 is a non-effective version of the Kahn-Sujatha unramified cohomology functor R_{nr} defined in [13, §5]. The author would like to thank the anonymous referee for bringing this crucial fact to our attention.

4.1. **Kahn-Sujatha birational motives.** Let DM° be the Kahn-Sujatha triangulated category of birational motives defined in [13, Def. 3.2.1], $\nu_{\leq 0} : DM^{\text{eff}} \to DM^{\circ}$ the corresponding localization functor (see the diagram in [13, p. 20]), and $i^{\circ} : DM^{\circ} \to DM^{\text{eff}}$ its right adjoint [13, Thm. 3.3.5].

Remark 4.1.1. Since the right adjoint i° is fully faithful [13, Thm. 3.3.5], we conclude that the counit $\nu_{\leq 0} \circ i^{\circ} \to id$ of the adjunction $(\nu_{\leq 0}, i^{\circ})$ is a natural isomorphism.

4.1.2. We will write $DM^{\text{eff}} \cap DM^{\perp}(1)$ for the full triangulated subcategory of DM^{eff} consisting of objects E which belong to DM^{eff} and to $DM^{\perp}(1)$ (3.1.5). Let ι denote the inclusion $DM^{\text{eff}} \cap DM^{\perp}(1) \to DM^{\text{eff}}$.

Lemma 4.1.3. *With the notation of 4.1 and 4.1.2.*

1. *The composition $\nu_{\leq 0} \circ \iota : DM^{\text{eff}} \cap DM^{\perp}(1) \to DM^{\circ}$ is an equivalence of categories.*
2. *For every $E \in DM^{\circ}$, $i^{\circ}E \in DM^{\text{eff}} \cap DM^{\perp}(1)$. Moreover, the fully faithful functor $i^{\circ} : DM^{\circ} \to DM^{\text{eff}}$ induces an equivalence $DM^{\circ} \cong i^{\circ}(DM^{\circ}) \cong DM^{\text{eff}} \cap DM^{\perp}(1)$ which is a quasi-inverse to $\nu_{\leq 0} \circ \iota$.*
3. *The natural transformation $\iota \to i^{\circ} \circ (\nu_{\leq 0} \circ \iota)$ deduced from the unit of the adjunction $(\nu_{\leq 0}, i^{\circ})$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. (1): This follows from the definition of DM° [13, Def. 3.2.1] and [21, Thm. 9.1.16].

(2): Since $i^{\circ} : DM^{\circ} \to DM^{\text{eff}}$ is fully faithful and a right adjoint of $\nu_{\leq 0}$, it suffices to show that $i^{\circ}E$ is in $DM^{\perp}(1)$, . Now, we observe that by construction DM° is the localization for the pair $DM^{\text{eff}}(1) \subseteq DM^{\text{eff}}$ [21, Def. 9.1.1]. The result then follows from [21, Lem. 9.1.2].

(3): Since $i^{\circ}(DM^{\circ}) = DM^{\text{eff}} \cap DM^{\perp}(1)$, it suffices to show that $i^{\circ} \to i^{\circ} \circ (\nu_{\leq 0} \circ i^{\circ})$ is an isomorphism which is clear since i° is fully faithful (4.1.1). □

Definition 4.1.4. The Kahn-Sujatha unramified cohomology functor $R_{nr} : DM^{\text{eff}} \to DM^{\circ}$ is the right adjoint of $i^{\circ} : DM^{\circ} \to DM^{\text{eff}}$ [13, §5].

Recall that i_0 is the inclusion $DM^{\text{eff}} \to DM$, which admits a right adjoint r_0 and that $f_0 = i_0 \circ r_0$ (3.3).

Proposition 4.1.5. *The functor $i^{\circ} \circ R_{nr} : DM^{\text{eff}} \to DM^{\text{eff}}$ is isomorphic to $(r_0 \circ bc_{\leq 0}) \circ i_0 : DM^{\text{eff}} \to DM^{\text{eff}}$, where $bc_{\leq 0}$ is the birational cover defined in 3.2.3.*

Proof. By 4.1.3(2), $(i^{\circ} \circ R_{nr})E \in DM^{\text{eff}} \cap DM^{\perp}(1)$ for every $E \in DM^{\text{eff}}$. Hence, it suffices to show that the natural transformation $i_0 \circ i^{\circ} \circ R_{nr} \to i_0$ deduced from the counit of the adjunction (i°, R_{nr}) satisfies the universal property of 3.3.2(2).

Let $E' \in DM^{\text{eff}} \cap DM^{\perp}(1)$. Then:

$$\text{Hom}_{DM}(i_0 E', i_0 \circ i^{\circ} \circ R_{nr} E) \cong \text{Hom}_{DM^{\text{eff}}}(E', (i^{\circ} \circ R_{nr})E)$$

and by adjointness:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{\mathrm{eff}}}(E', (i^\circ \circ R_{nr})E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^\circ}(\nu_{\leq 0}E', R_{nr}E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{\mathrm{eff}}}(i^\circ \nu_{\leq 0}E', E)$$

On the other hand $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{\mathrm{eff}}}(i^\circ\nu_{\leq 0}E', E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{\mathrm{eff}}}(E', E)$ by 4.1.3(3). Hence, we conclude that $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(i_0E', i_0 \circ i^\circ \circ R_{nr}E) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(i_0E', i_0E)$. This finishes the proof. □

5. The Birational Tower for Motivic Cohomology

We will study the birational tower (3.2.14) for the motive of a point $\mathbf{1}_R$ with coefficients in a commutative ring R. Our goal is to show that the tower induces a finite filtration on the Chow groups, and that it satisfies several of the properties of the still conjectural Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration.

5.1. **Basic properties.**

Lemma 5.1.1. $\mathbf{1}_R$ *belongs to* $DM^\perp(1)$ *and to* DM^{eff} (3.1.5).

Proof. It follows directly from the definition of DM^{eff} (3.1.5) that $\mathbf{1}_R$ belongs to DM^{eff}. It only remains to show that $\mathbf{1}_R \in DM^\perp(1)$. Combining 3.1.5 and 2.1.2, we conclude that it suffices to see that for every $X \in Sm_k$ and every p, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $p \geq 1$ (3.1.3): $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(p)[q], \mathbf{1}_R) = 0$.

We observe that $M(X)(p)[q]$ and $\mathbf{1}_R$ are in DM^{eff}, thus by Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [31] (see 3.1.4) it suffices to show that:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{\mathrm{eff}}}(M(X)(p)[q], \mathbf{1}_R) = 0.$$

Let $U = \mathbb{A}^p \backslash \{0\}$ and consider the Gysin distinguished triangle in DM^{eff} [18, 15.15]: $M(X \times U) \to M(X \times \mathbb{A}^p) \to M(X)(p)[2p]$. Hence, it suffices to show that the map induced by the open immersion $X \times U \to X \times \mathbb{A}^p$: $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{\mathrm{eff}}}(M(X \times \mathbb{A}^p)[q], \mathbf{1}_R) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{DM^{\mathrm{eff}}}(M(X \times U)[q], \mathbf{1}_R)$ is an isomorphism for every $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. But this follows directly from the computation of motivic cohomology in weight zero [18, 4.2]. □

Proposition 5.1.2. *Let* $n \geq 0$ *be an arbitrary integer. Then the natural map (see 3.2.14),* $\theta_n^{\mathbf{1}_R} : bc_{\leq n}(\mathbf{1}_R) \to \mathbf{1}_R$ *is an isomorphism in* DM.

Proof. Combining 3.2.7 and 3.2.1, it suffices to show that $\mathbf{1}_R$ is in $DM^\perp(1)$. This follows from 5.1.1. □

Hence, we conclude that the birational tower (3.2.14) for the motive of a point with R coefficients is as follows:

(5.1.3)
$$\cdots \longrightarrow bc_{\leq -3}(\mathbf{1}_R) \longrightarrow bc_{\leq -2}(\mathbf{1}_R) \longrightarrow bc_{\leq -1}(\mathbf{1}_R)$$
$$\theta_{-3}^{\mathbf{1}_R} \quad \theta_{-2}^{\mathbf{1}_R} \quad \theta_{-1}^{\mathbf{1}_R}$$
$$\mathbf{1}_R$$

5.2. **The filtration on the motivic cohomology of a scheme.**

Let $X \in Sm_k$, and p, $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will write $H^{p,q}(X, R)$ for $\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X), \mathbf{1}_R(q)[p])$, i.e. for the motivic cohomology of X with coefficients in R of degree p and weight q. By adjointness, $H^{p,q}(X, R) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(-q)[-p], \mathbf{1}_R)$. Therefore, the abutment of the spectral sequence (3.2.16) for the tower (5.1.3) evaluated in $M(X)(-q)[-p]$ induces a filtration on $H^{p,q}(X, R)$. Namely:

Definition 5.2.1. Let p, q be arbitrary integers, and let X be in Sm_k. Consider the decreasing filtration $F^\bullet$ on $H^{p,q}(X,R)$ where $F^nH^{p,q}(X,R)$ is given by the image of $\theta^{\mathbf{1}_R}_{-n*}$ (see 5.1.3), $n \geq 0$:

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(-q)[-p], bc_{\leq -n}\mathbf{1}_R) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \theta^{\mathbf{1}_R}_{-n*}} \\ \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(-q)[-p], \mathbf{1}_R) = H^{p,q}(X,R). \end{array}$$

By construction, the filtration $F^\bullet$ is functorial in X with respect to morphisms in DM.

Remark 5.2.2. The existence of the functor $Chow^{\mathrm{eff}}(k) \to DM$ [27, Prop. 2.1.4, Thm. 3.2.6], where $Chow^{\mathrm{eff}}(k)$ is the category of effective Chow motives over k; implies that the filtration $F^\bullet$ constructed in 5.2.1 is functorial with respect to Chow correspondences.

5.2.3. *Finiteness of the filtration.* Our goal is to show that for X in Sm_k, the filtration $F^\bullet$ on $H^{p,q}(X,R)$ defined in 5.2.1 is concentrated in the range $0 \leq n \leq q$.

Theorem 5.2.4. *Let p, q be arbitrary integers, and let X be in Sm_k. Then the decreasing filtration $F^\bullet$ on $H^{p,q}(X,R)$ constructed in 5.2.1 satisfies the following properties:*

(1) $F^0H^{p,q}(X,R) = H^{p,q}(X,R)$,
(2) $F^{q+1}H^{p,q}(X,R) = 0$.

Proof. By 5.1.2 we deduce that $\theta^{\mathbf{1}_R}_0$ is an isomorphism in DM. This proves the first claim. For the second claim, we observe that $M(X)(-q)[-p]$ is in $DM^{\mathrm{eff}}(-q)$ (see 3.1.3 and 3.1.5). Thus, it suffices to show that $bc_{\leq -q-1}\mathbf{1}_R$ belongs to $DM^{\perp}(-q)$ (see 3.1.5). This follows from 3.2.2 and 3.2.3. □

5.3. **The components of the filtration.** We will describe some properties that an element in $H^{p,q}(X,R)$ needs to satisfy in order to be in the n-component of the filtration $F^\bullet$ (5.2.1). First, we fix some notation.

5.3.1. Let $X \in Sm_k$ and $\alpha \in H^{p,q}(X,R)$ with $q \geq 0$. Set $A = M(X)(-q)[-p] \in DM$. Then α induces a map $\alpha(-q)[-p] : A \to \mathbf{1}_R$. Let $n > 0$ and set $n' = -n+1$. Recall the n'-effective cover $\epsilon^A_{n'} : f_{n'}(A) \to A$ (3.3.1).

Proposition 5.3.2. *With the notation of 5.3.1, $\alpha \in F^nH^{p,q}(X,R)$ (see 5.2.1) if and only if $\alpha(-q)[-p] \circ \epsilon^A_{n'} = 0$.*

Proof. ($\Rightarrow$): By construction (5.2.1), it suffices to show that:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(f_{-n+1}A, bc_{\leq -n}\mathbf{1}_R) = 0.$$

This follows directly from 3.2.5 and 3.3.1 (see 3.1.5).

($\Leftarrow$): Let $s_{<n'}(A)$ be the cone of $\epsilon^A_{n'}$, and consider the following diagram in DM where the top row is a distinguished triangle:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} f_{n'}(A)[1] & \longleftarrow & s_{<n'}(A) & \longleftarrow & A & \xleftarrow{\epsilon^A_{n'}} & f_{n'}(A) \\ & & {\scriptstyle \alpha''}\downarrow & \swarrow^{\alpha'} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha(-q)[-p]} & & \\ & & bc_{\leq -n}(\mathbf{1}_R) & \xrightarrow[\theta^{\mathbf{1}_R}_{-n}]{} & \mathbf{1}_R & & \end{array}$$

Hence, $\alpha(-q)[-p] \circ \epsilon^A_{n'} = 0$ if and only if there exists α' which makes the right triangle commute. On the other hand, the universal property of $\epsilon^A_{n'}$ (3.3.1) implies that $s_{<n'}A \in DM^{\perp}(-n+1)$ (see 3.1.5). Thus, by the universal property of $\theta^{\mathbf{1}_R}_{-n}$ (3.2.4)-(3.2.5) we conclude that the existence of α' such that the right triangle commutes is equivalent to the existence of α'' such that the square commutes.

Hence, by construction (5.2.1) we conclude that $\alpha \in F^n H^{p,q}(X,R)$. □

Corollary 5.3.3. *With the notation of 5.3.1. Then $F^n H^{p,q}(X,R)$ (see 5.2.1) is isomorphic to:*

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(-q)[-p],\mathbf{1}_R) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^{A\,*}_{-n+1}} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(f_{-n+1}(M(X)(-q)[-p]),\mathbf{1}_R))$$

which is also isomorphic to:

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X),\mathbf{1}_R(q)[p]) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^{M(X)\,*}_{q-n+1}} \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(f_{q-n+1}(M(X)),\mathbf{1}_R(q)[p]))$$

Proof. The first isomorphism follows directly from 5.3.2; the second one follows by adjointness and 3.3.3(2). □

Remark 5.3.4. By 5.3.3 it is possible to construct the filtration $F^\bullet$ (5.2.1) using only the effective covers of the slice filtration.

5.3.5. *The filtration for smooth projective varieties.* We will show that an algebraic cycle $\alpha \in H^{2q,q}(X,R) \cong CH^q(X)$ is in $F^1H^{2q,q}(X,R)$ (5.2.1) if and only if α is numerically equivalent to zero. When the coefficient ring $R \neq \mathbb{Q}$, we will say that α is numerically equivalent to zero if for every field extension K/k of finite transcendence degree and every cycle $\beta \in CH_q(X_K)_R$, the intersection multiplicity $\deg(\alpha_K \cdot \beta) \in R$ is zero.

Let $\mathbf{hom}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ denote the internal Hom-functor in DM^{eff}. Notice that it does not coincide in general with the internal Hom-functor in DM (see the remark after Cor. 4.3.6 in [27]).

The following result is based on the work of Kahn-Sujatha [14, Lem. 5.1 and its proof]. The fact that this approach does in fact compute the first component of the filtration was brought to my attention by the anonymous referee.

Theorem 5.3.6. *Let X be a smooth projective k-scheme and $q \geq 0$. Then via the isomorphism $H^{2q,q}(X,R) \cong CH^q(X)_R$, $F^1H^{2q,q}(X,R)$ (5.2.1) gets identified with the R-submodule of cycles numerically equivalent to zero.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in CH^q(X)_R$. By 5.3.3, it suffices to show that α is numerically equivalent to zero if and only if the composition:

$$f_qM(X) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^{M(X)}_q} M(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbf{1}_R(q)[2q]$$

is zero in DM, or equivalently in DM^{eff} since $f_qM(X)$, $M(X)$, $\mathbf{1}_R(q)[2q]$ are in DM^{eff}. By [10, Prop. 1.1], $f_qM(X) \cong \mathbf{hom}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbf{1}(q)[2q],M(X))(q)[2q]$, so we are reduced to show that α is numerically equivalent to zero if and only if the composition:

$$\mathbf{hom}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\mathbf{1}(q)[2q],M(X))(q)[2q] \xrightarrow{\epsilon^{M(X)}_q} M(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbf{1}_R(q)[2q]$$

is zero in DM^{eff}, which is equivalent (by adjointness and Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [31]) to show that the map induced by α:

$$\mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}(q)[2q], M(X)) \xrightarrow{\alpha_*} \mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}_R(q)[2q], \mathbf{1}_R(q)[2q]) \cong \mathbf{1}_R$$

is zero. It follows from [14, Prop. 2.3] that $\mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}(q)[2q], M(X))$ is in $(DM^{\text{eff}})_{\geq 0}$ for Voevodsky's homotopy t-structure [27, p. 11], and by [18, 4.2] $\mathbf{1}_R$ is in the heart of the homotopy t-structure. Hence, α_* descends to a map:

$$h_0(\mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}(q)[2q], M(X))) \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_*} \mathbf{1}_R$$

which is zero if and only if α_* is zero. Now, $\bar{\alpha}_*$ is a morphism in the heart of the homotopy t-structure, which is given by homotopy invariant Nisnevich sheaves with transfers. Thus, $\bar{\alpha}_*$ is zero if and only if the induced map on sections [18, 11.2]:

$$\Gamma(K, h_0(\mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}(q)[2q], M(X)))) \xrightarrow{\bar{\alpha}_{K*}} \Gamma(K, \mathbf{1}_R) \cong R$$

is zero for every field extension K/k of finite transcendence degree. By [14, Prop. 2.3], $\Gamma(K, h_0(\mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}(q)[2q], M(X)))) \cong CH_q(X_K)$; so we are reduced to show that $\bar{\alpha}_{K*}$ computes the intersection multiplicity with α_K.

Let $Y \in Sm_k$ with function field K. Then, by adjointness $\bar{\alpha}_{K*}$ is the colimit indexed by the non-empty open subsets $U \subseteq Y$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{DM^{\text{eff}}}(M(U)\mathbf{1}(q)[2q], M(X)) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{U*}} & \text{Hom}_{DM^{\text{eff}}}(M(U)(q)[2q], \mathbf{1}_R(q)[2q]) \\ & & \cong \downarrow \\ & & CH^0(U)_R \cong R \end{array}$$

Since X is smooth projective, $\text{Hom}_{DM^{\text{eff}}}(M(U)\mathbf{1}(q)[2q], M(X)) \cong CH_{d_U+q}(U \times X)_R$ where d_u is the dimension of U. Hence, it suffices to show that α_{U*} gets identified with the map $\beta \mapsto p_{U*}(\beta \cdot (U \times \alpha))$, $\beta \in CH_{d_U+q}(U \times X)_R$ where $p_U : U \times X \to U$.

Finally, this follows by combining the existence of the functor $Chow^{\text{eff}}(k) \to DM$ [27, Prop. 2.1.4, Thm. 3.2.6] (where $Chow^{\text{eff}}(k)$ is the category of effective Chow motives over k) with the projective bundle formula in DM [18, 15.12] (which shows in particular that $M(\mathbb{P}^q)/M(\mathbb{P}^{q-1})$ is a model for $\mathbf{1}(q)[2q]$ [18, 15.2]). □

6. Towards the Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration

In this section we include some remarks on the still conjectural Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration [11], [24], [25], [12].

6.1. **Basic Setup.** Recall that k is a perfect base field. Let $SmProj_k$ be the full subcategory of Sm_k where the objects are smooth projective varieties over k. We will write $CH^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for the Chow ring of X with rational coefficients: $\oplus_{n=0}^{\dim X} CH^n(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We fix a Weil cohomology theory H on $SmProj_k$ [15, 1.2], with cycle class map $\text{cl}_X : CH^p(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to H^{2p}(X)$. Given a correspondence $\Lambda \in CH^q(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, we will write respectively $CH^i_\Lambda : CH^{i+d_X-q}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to CH^i(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, $H^i_\Lambda : H^{i+2(d_X-q)}(X) \to H^i(Y)$ for the induced maps on the Chow groups and on cohomology [15, 1.3], where d_X is the dimension of X. Namely, $CH^i_\Lambda(\alpha) = \pi_{Y*}(\Lambda \cdot \pi_X^* \alpha)$, where π_X, π_Y are the relative projections to X and Y; and similarly for H^i_Λ.

Definition 6.1.1. Let $X \in SmProj_k$. We will say that a descending filtration $F^\bullet$ on $CH^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a filtration of Bloch-Beilinson-Murre type if the following conditions are satisfied:

BBM1: $F^0CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, for every $0 \leq q \leq \dim X$.

BBM2: $F^1CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = CH^q_{num}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, the group of cycles numerically equivalent to zero modulo rational equivalence.

BBM3: $F^\bullet$ is functorial with respect to Chow correspondences between smooth projective varieties, i.e. given $\Lambda \in CH^{q'}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$:

$$CH^q_\Lambda(F^nCH^{q+d_X-q'}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \subseteq F^nCH^q(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

We will write $Gr^n_F(CH^q_\Lambda)$ for the map induced by CH^q_Λ on the graded groups:

$$Gr^n_F(CH^{q+d_X-q'}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}) \to Gr^n_F(CH^q(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

BBM4: With the notation of **BBM3** and 6.1. If $H^{2q-n}_\Lambda = 0$ then $Gr^n_F(CH^q_\Lambda) = 0$.

BBM5: $F^{q+1}CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = 0$.

6.1.2. Instead of **BBM4** it is possible to consider the weaker condition **BBM4a**: If the class of $\Lambda \in CH^{q'}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is homologous to zero, i.e. $\mathrm{cl}_{X\times Y}(\Lambda) = 0 \in H^{2q'}(X \times Y)$; then $Gr^n_F(CH^q_\Lambda) = 0$ for every n; $0 \leq n \leq q$ (see the graded condition in [33, p. 421]).

Remark 6.1.3. Assuming that homological and numerical equivalence coincide on X, i.e. the standard conjecture $D(X)$ [15, Prop. 3.6]; we observe that **BBM2** simply says that $F^1CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is given by the group of cycles homologically equivalent to zero modulo rational equivalence $CH^q_{hom}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. This is the condition that appears in [25], [12]. Notice that when the base field $k = \mathbb{C}$ is given by the complex numbers, then $D(X)$ is known to be true in the following cases:

(1) $CH^q_{hom}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} = CH^q_{num}(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$; for $q = 0$, 1, 2, $\dim X - 1$, $\dim X$ [16, p. 369 Cor. 1]. In fact, when $q = 1$ (resp. $q = \dim X$) and k is algebraically closed, homological and numerical equivalence coincide [17] (resp. non-triviality of the cycle map [15, p.363 1.2C(iii)]).
(2) X is an abelian variety [16, p. 372 Thm. 4],
(3) $\dim X \leq 4$ [16, p. 369 Cor. 1].

Since $CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(-q)[-2q], \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}})$, we can restrict the filtration $F^\bullet$ considered in (5.2.1) to the Chow groups with rational coefficients in order to obtain a filtration that satisfies several of the properties considered in (6.1.1):

Theorem 6.1.4. *With the notation of 6.1. Let $X \in SmProj_k$. Then the filtration $F^\bullet$ on $CH^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ defined in* (5.2.1) *satisfies the conditions* **BBM1**, **BBM2**, **BBM3** *and* **BBM5** *of* (6.1.1).

Proof. In order to obtain the filtration we just take $R = \mathbb{Q}$ in (5.2.1), and observe that $CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{DM}(M(X)(-q)[-2q], \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}})$.

Now, property **BBM1** follows from 5.2.4(1), property **BBM2** follows from 5.3.6, property **BBM3** follows from 5.2.1-5.2.2, and property **BBM5** follows from 5.2.4(2). □

Remark 6.1.5. Notice that the filtration defined in 5.2.1 satisfies the conditions required in 6.1.4 for any coefficient ring R and not just the rational numbers.

6.1.6. In general there is not much that can be said at the moment with respect to condition **BBM4**. However, assuming that the tensor structure in DM is compatible with the birational covers $bc_{\leq -n}\mathbf{1}_R \to \mathbf{1}_R$ in the sense of 6.1.7, then it is possible to show that **BBM4a** holds (6.1.8).

Conjecture 6.1.7. *Consider the tower* (5.1.3) *in* DM *for* $R = \mathbb{Q}$*, and let* n*,* $m \geq 0$*. Then there exists a map* $\mu_{n,m} : (bc_{\leq -n}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes (bc_{\leq -m}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \to bc_{\leq -n-m}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$*, such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (bc_{\leq -n}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes (bc_{\leq -m}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{\theta^{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}}_{-n} \otimes \theta^{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}}_{-m}} & (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mu_{n,m}} & \nearrow_{\theta^{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}}_{-n-m}} & \\ bc_{\leq -n-m}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} & & \end{array}$$

Proposition 6.1.8. *Let* $X \in SmProj_k$*. Assume that the maps* $\mu_{n,1}$ *in 6.1.7 exist for* $n \geq 0$*. Then the filtration* $F^\bullet$ *on* $CH^*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ *considered in* (5.2.1) *and* (6.1.4) *satisfies the remaining condition* **BBM4a** (6.1.2)*.*

Proof. Let $Y \in SmProj_k$, and $\Lambda \in CH^{q'}(X \times Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ a correspondence homologous to zero, in particular numerically equivalent to zero by [15, Prop. 1.2.3]. Consider Λ as a map $M(X \times Y) \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(q')[2q']$. By 5.3.6, we conclude that there exists a map $\Lambda' : M(X \times Y)(-q')[-2q'] \to bc_{\leq -1}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in DM such that $\Lambda(-q')[-2q'] = \theta^{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}}_{-1} \circ \Lambda'$ (5.1.3).

Now let $\alpha \in F^n CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, which we will consider as a map $\alpha : M(X) \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(q)[2q]$. Hence, by construction (5.2.1) there exists a map $\alpha' : M(X)(-q)[-2q] \to bc_{\leq -n}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, such that $\alpha(-q)[-2q] = \theta^{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}}_{-n} \circ \alpha'$ (5.1.3).

Thus, it suffices to show that the following composition factors through $\theta^{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}}_{-n-1} : bc_{\leq -n-1}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (see 5.2.1):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M(X)(-q)[-2q]) \otimes (M(X) \otimes M(Y))(-q')[-2q'] & & \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \alpha' \otimes \Lambda'} & & \\ (bc_{\leq -n}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes (bc_{\leq -1}\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}) & \xrightarrow{\theta^{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}}_{-n} \otimes \theta^{\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}}_{-1}} & (\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \cong \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

But this follows directly from the existence of the map $\mu_{n,1}$ (6.1.7). □

6.1.9. The anonymous referee suggests the following heuristic argument to explain why the filtration considered in 6.1.4 is a reasonable candidate for a Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration: Let $X \in SmProj_k$ be of pure dimension d. Combining 3.3.3 and 5.3.2 we conclude that $\alpha \in CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is in $F^n CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if the composition:

$$f_{q-n+1}(M(X)) \xrightarrow{\epsilon^{M(X)}_{q-n+1}} M(X) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbf{1}_R(q)[2q]$$

is zero in DM^{eff}. Let $r = q - n + 1$, by [10, Prop. 1.1], [32, Lem. 5.9] we conclude that $f_r(M(X)) \cong \mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(r)[2r], M(X)) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(r)[2r]$ in DM^{eff}. Thus, it follows from Voevodsky's cancellation theorem [31] that $\alpha \in F^n CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ if and only if the map $\mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(r)[2r], M(X)) \to \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(n-1)[2n-2]$ in DM^{eff} induced by α is zero.

Assuming a conjecture of Ayoub [3, 4.22], the functor $DM^{\text{eff}} \to DM^{\text{eff}}$; $A \mapsto \mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(1), A)$ maps $DM^{\text{eff}}_{\leq n}$ to $DM^{\text{eff}}_{\leq n-1}$, where $DM^{\text{eff}}_{\leq n}$ is the smallest full triangulated subcategory of DM^{eff} which is closed under arbitrary (infinite) coproducts and contains $M(Y)$ for $Y \in Sm_k$, $\dim Y \leq n$. Thus, we conclude that $\mathbf{hom}^{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}(r)[2r], M(X))$ is in $DM^{\text{eff}}_{\leq d-q+n-1}$.

Hence, using the argument in 5.3.6 we conclude that $F^nCH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is contained in the kernel of the maps $CH^{n-1}_{\Lambda} : CH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} \to CH^{n-1}(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}}$; $\alpha \mapsto \pi_{Y*}(\Lambda \cdot \pi_X^*\alpha)$ (see 6.1), where $\Lambda \in CH^{d-q+n-1}(Y \times X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\dim Y \leq d-q+n-1$. This implies that $F^nCH^q(X)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is contained in the filtration defined in [33, §1.1] which for zero cycles is a natural candidate for a Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration [33, Prop. 6].

For more details on Ayoub's conjecture [3, 4.22], we refer the reader to the recent preprint [7].

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank warmly Chuck Weibel for his interest in this work, as well as for all the advice and support during all these years. The author also thanks the anonymous referee for bringing to our attention the work of Kahn-Sujatha [14] on unramified cohomology and their computation of the first component of the filtration studied in this paper. The author would also like to thank Bruno Kahn, Mikhail Bondarko and Jinhyun Park for several conversations on the Bloch-Beilinson-Murre filtration.

References

[1] J. Ayoub. Les six opérations de Grothendieck et le formalisme des cycles évanescents dans le monde motivique. II. *Astérisque*, (315):vi+364 pp. (2008), 2007.
[2] J. Ayoub. The n-motivic t-structures for $n = 0$, 1 and 2. *Adv. Math.*, 226(1):111–138, 2011.
[3] J. Ayoub. Motives and algebraic cycles: a selection of conjectures and open questions. *Preprint*, 2015.
[4] A. A. Beĭlinson. Height pairing between algebraic cycles. In *K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986)*, volume 1289 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–25. Springer, Berlin, 1987.
[5] T. Beke. Sheafifiable homotopy model categories. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 129(3):447–475, 2000.
[6] S. Bloch. *Lectures on algebraic cycles*. Duke University Mathematics Series, IV. Duke University Mathematics Department, Durham, N.C., 1980.
[7] M. Bondarko. Intersecting the dimension fitlration with the slice one for (relative) motivic categories. *Preprint*, 2016.
[8] P. S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
[9] M. Hovey. Spectra and symmetric spectra in general model categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 165(1):63–127, 2001.
[10] A. Huber and B. Kahn. The slice filtration and mixed Tate motives. *Compos. Math.*, 142(4):907–936, 2006.
[11] U. Jannsen. Motivic sheaves and filtrations on Chow groups. In *Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991)*, volume 55 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 245–302. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
[12] U. Jannsen. Equivalence relations on algebraic cycles. In *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, volume 548 of *NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 225–260. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
[13] B. Kahn and R. Sujatha. Birational motives, II: Triangulated birational motives. 2015.
[14] B. Kahn and R. Sujatha. The derived functors of unramified cohomology. 2015.
[15] S. L. Kleiman. Algebraic cycles and the Weil conjectures. In *Dix esposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, pages 359–386. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.

[16] D. I. Lieberman. Numerical and homological equivalence of algebraic cycles on Hodge manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.*, 90:366–374, 1968.
[17] T. Matsusaka. The criteria for algebraic equivalence and the torsion group. *Amer. J. Math.*, 79:53–66, 1957.
[18] C. Mazza, V. Voevodsky, and C. Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, volume 2 of *Clay Mathematics Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006.
[19] J. P. Murre. On a conjectural filtration on the Chow groups of an algebraic variety. I. The general conjectures and some examples. *Indag. Math. (N.S.)*, 4(2):177–188, 1993.
[20] A. Neeman. The Grothendieck duality theorem via Bousfield's techniques and Brown representability. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(1):205–236, 1996.
[21] A. Neeman. *Triangulated categories*, volume 148 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001.
[22] P. Pelaez. Birational motivic homotopy theories and the slice filtration. *Doc. Math.*, 18:51–70, 2013.
[23] P. Pelaez. Motivic Birational Covers and Finite Filtrations on Chow Groups. 2014.
[24] S. Saito. Motives and filtrations on Chow groups. *Invent. Math.*, 125(1):149–196, 1996.
[25] S. Saito. Motives and filtrations on Chow groups. II. In *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, volume 548 of *NATO Sci. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci.*, pages 321–346. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
[26] A. Suslin and V. Voevodsky. Relative cycles and Chow sheaves. In *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, volume 143 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 10–86. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.
[27] V. Voevodsky. Triangulated categories of motives over a field. In *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*, volume 143 of *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, pages 188–238. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000.
[28] V. Voevodsky. Motivic cohomology groups are isomorphic to higher Chow groups in any characteristic. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (7):351–355, 2002.
[29] V. Voevodsky. Open problems in the motivic stable homotopy theory. I. In *Motives, polylogarithms and Hodge theory, Part I (Irvine, CA, 1998)*, volume 3 of *Int. Press Lect. Ser.*, pages 3–34. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2002.
[30] V. Voevodsky. A possible new approach to the motivic spectral sequence for algebraic K-theory. In *Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000)*, volume 293 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 371–379. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
[31] V. Voevodsky. Cancellation theorem. *Doc. Math.*, (Extra volume: Andrei A. Suslin sixtieth birthday):671–685, 2010.
[32] V. Voevodsky. Motives over simplicial schemes. *J. K-Theory*, 5(1):1–38, 2010.
[33] C. Voisin. Remarks on filtrations on Chow groups and the Bloch conjecture. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 183(3):421–438, 2004.

Instituto de Matemáticas, Ciudad Universitaria, UNAM, DF 04510, México
E-mail address: pablo.pelaez@im.unam.mx